

Online Comment by User: sheril

Submitted on: 9/5/2006 10:53:00 AM

Comment Category: Transportation and Traffic

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98052

Comment:

I-0875-001

After thoroughly studying all alternatives for the new 520 bridge, of course, the only conclusion I have is: The Pacific Interchange planned by citizens for a better bridge design is superior to all the state/federal considerations.

Are the engineers for the state the same ones who made possible onramps and offramps on the same lane? Or took 4 years to design the West Seattle Bridge and took 7 years to construct it and did a horrid design?

I-0875-002

We who bike to work to U District have only one alternative which is slow, and often the buses all ready have two bikes and I wait and am late for work. Do you want me to continue to rise at 5am to be to work by 8? The new proposal for the 520 is superior.

This needs not be a battle among us and them---please do the superior design and choose Pacific Interchange.

Sheril Bechard
Redmond, Wa.

I-0875-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0875-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.