

**I-0960-001**

**Comment Summary:**

6-Lane Alternative

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

**Online Comment by User: williamsc**

**Submitted on:** 10/31/2006 12:04:00 PM  
**Comment Category:** General Comments  
**Comment Location:** Chapter-2, Page-9  
**Address:** , , 98101  
**Comment:**  
Re: 520 Bridge/ Arboretum

WSDOT:

**I-0960-001**

The evidence for the necessity of this project is strong, however it seems that we should be concerned with the considerable impact of the 6-lane proposal on the Arboretum.

It is very reasonable to make structural updates and improve the traffic congestion without sacrificing our precious ecological, historical and cultural rations.

Disregard for the Arboretum could be potentially devastating to our city's environmental well-being, and the 6-lane proposal trends dangerously in that direction.

While the benefits of a thriving economy and solid transportation system are enticing, and the financial arguments backing the 6-lane plan are rational, we need to also consider how we plan to preserve our urban green space in the future. We must ensure that our city is efficient AND livable.

Furthermore, the 6-lane proposal seems to favor the use of single-occupant cars by providing more space in general for vehicles. Do we really want to see more cars piling across Lake Washington? Again, it seems reasonable to consider plans which update the bridge structure and ENCOURAGE the use of alternative transportation.

Sincerely,  
C. Williams

**Comment Category:** General Comments  
**Comment Location:** Chapter-2, Page-9  
**Comment:**  
Re: 520 Bridge/ Arboretum

WSDOT:

The evidence for the necessity of this project is strong, however it seems that we should be concerned with the considerable impact of the 6-lane proposal on the Arboretum.

It is very reasonable to make structural updates and improve the traffic congestion without sacrificing our precious ecological, historical and cultural rations.

Disregard for the Arboretum could be potentially devastating to our city's environmental well-being, and the 6-lane proposal trends dangerously in that direction.

**I-0960-001**

While the benefits of a thriving economy and solid transportation system are enticing, and the financial arguments backing the 6-lane plan are rational, we need to also consider how we plan to preserve our urban green space in the future. We must ensure that our city is efficient AND livable.

Furthermore, the 6-lane proposal seems to favor the use of single-occupant cars by providing more space in general for vehicles. Do we really want to see more cars piling across Lake Washington? Again, it seems reasonable to consider plans which update the bridge structure and ENCOURAGE the use of alternative transportation.

Sincerely,  
C. Williams