

**Online Comment by User: wscott**

Submitted on: 9/29/2006 6:48:00 PM

Comment Category: Noise

Comment Location: Chapter-7, Page-49

Address: , , 98004

**Comment:**

Seattle (West side) noise for the 6 lane alternative is modled to exceed 109 residences. The 2 lids are an improvement and WSDOT should be applauded for this forward thinking and chastised simultaneously for leaving the rest of the residences out in the noise when something can be done that is cost - effective ; noise rucing over a long period of time and will extend the life of the new concrete freeway indefinitely - Overlay by Rubberized asphalt ("AR" ) - used extensively in other US States & other countries for years . Originally designed as a more durable alternative to HMA , AR turned out to be safer ( reduced ponding , truck spray, and higher friction coefficient) , better environmentally - cutting noise by 4- 8 dBA and recycling of used tires ( Az now recycles 70% of all the States used tires back into its highways ) and more cost effective than replacing concrete every 50 yrs .

Noise walls - only effective 3 blocks back(18-20') or less given that this only envisionswalls 8' -18' and not applicable for homes & businesses near the Lake or on hillsides.

What about Laurelhurst and the neighborhood more than 3 blocks away - offer nothing when you could easily do so ? Milton doesn't have religion yet , we see.

We warned you in the scoping meetings that we'd appeal the EIS ; looks like we will

I-0964-001

**I-0964-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Noise Walls

**Response:**

See Section 12.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.