



Please select your comment category and enter your comment

Please select from the following categories
Please Select Category -

Add Comment

- General Comments
- Comments on Alternatives
- Comments on Environmental Effects
- Comments on Construction Effects

General Comments:

I have lived in Seattle since 1972. It is shocking that this a regards to alternatives to more and bigger freeways. I've community meetings on the SR520 proposals and conclud Interchange plan is the best option for the region. (If the "I viable, that concept should be explored as well.)

Replacing SR 520 is a once in a 50 year opportunity to do future instead of rebuilding with a mid 20th century minds

Of course, a gorgeous suspension bridge would be a mag the year 2010 the technology could make it buildable...

Sincerely,
Michelle Jacobsen

My comments would not forward, so I am handwriting them in - stead

Quest

Dear Mr. Kruger,

I-0975-001

I have lived in Seattle since 1972. It is shocking that in an age of global warming and rapid population growth that the state still wants more and bigger freeway. That said, I've attended numerous community meetings on SR520 proposals and have concluded that the Pacific Interchange is the optimal option for the region. (The Tube Tunnel should at least be explored.)

I-0975-002

Replacing SR520 is a once in a 50 year opportunity to improve the city's livability instead of rebuilding with a mid 20th century mindset. A gorgeous suspension bridge with 2010 technology could be buildable. More money spent now is an investment in a future generation. No one will, so and you resist. Sincerely Michelle Jacobsen

I-0975-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0975-002

Comment Summary:

Tube/Tunnel Concepts

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.