

From: [Sharon Feucht](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: Support for Pacific Interchange Plan for SR 520
Date: Sunday, October 15, 2006 2:04:53 PM
Attachments:

I-0983-001 Dear state planners,
I support the Pacific Interchange Plan for SR 520. I drive 1-2 times weekly to a part-time job at the U of W and actually park south of the Montlake bridge up in the community since I can't afford a parking pass for part-time. Sometimes I take the bus but that currently takes a long time from my home outside Renton. I am re-thinking the bus since prior to this I had family commitments that I needed to be able to come and go as I pleased; hence the car.

I-0983-002 I reviewed each of the plans and found this one really workable because it limits the traffic across the small but very lovely and beautiful Montlake Bridge. I would hope any alternative can maintain the wonderful views from many parts of the U of W campus and yet provide more easy access to the university, the medical center, sports events, and transit, plus wherever the light rail will stop in the university district. I would hope the new option also has bike lanes like I-90 for that mode of transportation from the Eastside and appropriate exits on and off not only to U of W but also to the Arboretum.

I-0983-003 It appears that one can exit onto Montlake going in either direction. Currently I use the Lake Washington Blvd. exit most often to go the direction I wish to travel (i.e. south on Montlake).

I-0983-004 I assume this project would have "art" money and hope that perhaps that could be utilized in wonderful designs for the bridges that may be needed, and other aspects of the roadway versus just a "piece" of art set somewhere. Obviously this design takes some U of W property but my understanding is that they are willing to work with plans but may need some support to build some alternative parking structures etc.

Thank you for allowing comment on this project and please be open to other options that may come along even once a plan is chosen if they add to functionality without increasing the cost in a measurable way.

Sincerely, Sharon A. Feucht

I-0983-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0983-002

Comment Summary:

Bicycle/Pedestrian Path

Response:

See Section 2.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0983-003

Comment Summary:

Context Sensitive Solutions

Response:

See Section 10.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0983-004

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.