

From: [Nola Allen](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: 520 bridge
Date: Monday, October 23, 2006 7:21:11 PM
Attachments:

I-1009-001 | I hope you will see the sense in going for the Pacific Interchange plan. It may cost more but it seems only logical that we should be looking at a solution that will solve some of the regions traffic problems (namely congestion). The other options will only continue to create more congestion as widening the existing road will only propel more traffic towards I-5 which is already hard put to handle traffic, and will do nothing for lessening the backups on Montlake boulevard. The Pacific Interchange will shunt a large amount of traffic to UW without impacting Montlake further. If we are going to spend any money at all it needs to be for something that will make a difference. Nola Allen 2147 E. Shelby, Seattle 98112. 206-323-3168

I-1009-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.