

I-1022-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: kpogat@comcast.net
To: [Krueger, Paul W \(UCO\)](mailto:Krueger.Paul.W@ucyo.com)
CC:
Subject: FW: Opposition to the 6 Lane Pacific Interchange Option
Date: Monday, October 23, 2006 1:10:03 PM
Attachments:

----- Forwarded Message: -----

From: kpogat@comcast.net
To: tim.ceis@seattle.gov; David.Della@seattle.gov; Sally.Clark@seattle.gov; Peter.Steinbrueck@seattle.gov; Richard.McIver@seattle.gov; Richard.Conlin@seattle.gov; Nick.Licata@seattle.gov; Tom.Rasmussen@seattle.gov; Jan.Drago@seattle.gov; Jean.Godden@seattle.gov; MiltonJ@WSDOT.WA.GOV; MeredJL@WSDOT.WA.GOV; KruegP@WSDOT.WA.GOV; www.governor.wa.gov
Subject: Opposition to the 6 Lane Pacific Interchange Option
Date: Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:06:26 +0000

I-1022-001

Greetings all - I am a resident of Laurelhurst and am writing to express my opposition to the 6 lane Pacific Interchange option to replace SR520. This option adds an enormous 110 feet concrete structure over the delicate ecosystem of Union Bay, threatening Marsh and Foster Islands, the Arboretum, and the wildlife that inhabits the wetlands. I endorse the positions taken by the community clubs of Laurelhurst, View Ridge, Windermere, Ravenna, University District, Wedgwood, Wallingford, Fremont, Madison Park, and Broadmoor - all in opposition to the 6 lane Pacific Interchange option currently being considered. This option will have a negative impact on traffic in our neighborhoods, which are already at or over capacity. This option will have a negative impact on property values in our neighborhoods, due to the increased noise, pollution, lighting, and lost view corridors.

I urge you to reject both 6-lane alternatives, and **to endorse the 4 lane plus dedicated transit way as a replacement for the SR520 bridge**, or

I-1022-002

to fund a feasibility study to evaluate the viability of a tube/tunnel to connect to the I-5 interchange, in order to minimize the negative impact on our environment and on the quality of life in our residential neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Karen O'Shea
3533 46th Ave. N.E.
Seattle, WA 98105

I-1022-002

Comment Summary:

Tube/Tunnel Concepts

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.