

**I-1104-001**

**Comment Summary:**

6-Lane Alternative

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

**From:** [Dan Washington](#)  
**To:** [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** 6 lane 520 bridge plus options  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 6:08:59 PM  
**Attachments:**

---

**I-1104-001** | I like the 6 lane option to increase flow over lake washington with the option of adding high-capacity transit. I don't mind which offramp option is picked.  
Residents have already shot themselves in the foot by voting to cut the car taxes and fees, which means less money for road projects. Most people want to drive, including myself. I think a lot of people say mass transit is good but wouldn't use it themselves because of the freedom that comes with driving their own car, regardless of time and money.

Dan Washington  
Kirkland, 98034

---

Stay in touch with old friends and meet new ones with Windows Live Spaces  
[http://clk.atdmt.com/MSN/go/msnkwsp0070000001msn/direct/01/?href=http://spaces.live.com/spacesapi.aspx?wx\\_action=create&wx\\_url=/friends.aspx&mkt=en-us](http://clk.atdmt.com/MSN/go/msnkwsp0070000001msn/direct/01/?href=http://spaces.live.com/spacesapi.aspx?wx_action=create&wx_url=/friends.aspx&mkt=en-us)