

I-1111-001

Comment Summary:

Tube/Tunnel Concepts

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: sjwitte@att.net
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC: jennifer.zeigler@gov.wa.gov; tim.ceis@seattle.gov; nick.licata@seattle.gov; jan.drago@seattle.gov; sally.clark@seattle.gov;
Subject: SR520 expansion
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 8:31:10 PM
Attachments:

I-1111-001

I would like to voice my opposition to the current SR520 proposal. The Arboretum and Union Bay and their wetlands and fish and wildlife must not be damaged further by SR-520, especially by the Pacific Street Interchange, which more accurately should be called the Union Bay and Marsh Island Interchange. This area is a confluence habitat for many of the areas wildlife that will be almost wiped out by this expansion.

I am a Seattle homeowner, and strongly protest this massive expansion of surface concrete in the middle of our city. The additional noise and pollution that any expansion to the SR520 is unacceptable to the residents of Seattle. Tunnels should be studied more and given more consideration. The investment required would be better spent on a sustainable and efficient mass transit system.

Please stop and reconsider and further action on SR520.

*Thanks,
Scott Witte
2829 Franklin Ave E
Seattle, Wa, 98102*