

From: [Warren Yee](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: SR-520 floating bridge replacement (deis) comments
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:05:11 PM
Attachments:

Paul Krueger
WSDOT Enviromental Manager
SR-520 Project Office

- I-1114-001** | I favor the 6 lane Pacific St Interchange alternative. it improves traffic for mostly everyone.
- Some comments:
- I-1114-002** | (1) elimination of the Montlake Transit Stop is permissible under the Pacific St I/C option only. It must remain under the 4 lane and non Pacific St I/C 6 lane option.
- I-1114-003** | (2) If you are going to eliminate a transit stop on the eastside, the Yarrow Point flyer stop should be the one, since Evergreen Point flyer stop is more heavily used and has a small P&R there already. Yarrow Point has lower ridership Also, Evergreen Point Station is served by Route 271 which is a prinicpal transit route between Bellevue and University District. There is already some transfer activity going on between University District and Non University District Eastside bus routes at the Evergreen Point Flyer Stop.
- I-1114-004** | (3) The UW E-11 and E-12 lots can be replaced by stacked garage type parking.
- I-1114-005** | (4) If there is not enough money to complete project, it can be done in phases. Obviously the first phase would be the replacement of the floating bridge portion of the project (a temporary transition bridge would be needed on the western end), then the second phase would be the eastside, since that part is not as controversial, then later phases would deal with the Seattle end (the controversial end).
- I-1114-006** | (5) I think you forgot that the 108th Ave NE and NE Northrup Way intersection is just as bad. your DEIS keeps mentioning the Bellevue Way/Northrup Way intersection is bad. this is in regards to a transit ramp to service South Kirkland Park and Ride.
- I-1114-007** | (6) Has there been any thought of getting rid of the Lake Washington Blvd on and off

I-1114-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1114-002

Comment Summary:

Montlake Freeway Transit Station

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1114-003

Comment Summary:

Eastside Concerns

Response:

See Section 24.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1114-004

Comment Summary:

Economic Effects

Response:

See Section 6.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1114-005

Comment Summary:

Schedule

I-1114-007 | ramps permanently? Most of the arborteum concerns has been the wetlands, but the traffic in the middle of the arborteum is just as bad. I assume that the proposed new Lake Washington Blvd off and on ramps will operate as like they do today, and access only to and from the south.

I-1114-008 | (7) Concerned that the Pacific St bridge over the water will not have any HOV lanes on it. Will this bridge be able to accomodate future High Capacity transit?

That is all my comments at this time.

Sincerly Yours

Warren Yee
5912 23rd Ave S
Seattle, WA 98108-2944

Response:

See Section 4.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1114-006

Comment Summary:

Eastside Concerns

Response:

See Section 24.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1114-007

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1114-008

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.