

I-1127-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: [Ellen Sollod](mailto:Ellen.Sollod@seattle.gov)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments; Nick Licata; jenniferzeigler@wa.gov](mailto:SR_520_DEIS_Comments_Nick_Licata_jenniferzeigler@wa.gov)
CC: tim.ceis@seattle.gov
Subject: 520 bridge expansion
Date: Wednesday, November 01, 2006 10:36:56 AM
Attachments:

I-1127-001

I realized that I am a day late in submitting my comments. I have been out of town and I have just received visual simulations of the impact of the expansion plans on the Arboretum. While I recognize that expansion and improvement of 520 is essential for regional mobility. I oppose the Pacific Interchange scheme because of its environmental impact. I support a 4-lane, transit-based plan for the 520 Bridge. Also, I request that a thorough Section 106 review be undertaken of the effects of the SR 520 Project on Washington Park Arboretum, Lake Washington Boulevard and University of Washington Campus. All are significant Olmsted cultural landscapes, all are eligible for National Register of Historic Places, and all are adversely impacted by all proposed 520 alternatives. Furthermore, since I am aware that the 520 and Alaskan Way are linked to ST 2 in an all-or-nothing ballot issue slated for Fall 2007, I request that neither the viaduct nor a tunnel be built on the waterfront, but that we implement transit service throughout the region. If you provide an environmentally holistic approach, I will support your project with my vote. Others will join me, because transit is the only fiscally responsible solution.

Thank you.

Sollod Studio LLC

mail to: 724 Fifteenth Avenue, Seattle, Wa 98122

courier to: 1941 First Avenue South., Seattle, Wa 98134

voice: 206.405.4155

web: www.sollodstudio.com