

I-1131-001

Comment Summary:

Alternatives Development

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: Peter Fiddler [mailto:fiddler@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Tue 10/24/2006 10:43 PM
To: Swenson, Michael/BOI
Cc: peter.a.fiddler@boeing.com
Subject: eComment Issue

I-1131-001

The 520 bridge is worn out. It should be rebuilt mostly as-is. Adding a lid in the Montlake neighborhood would be good.

Things that should NOT be done:

- * Pacific Street Interchange--NO. This worsens the already bad effects of the freeway on the Arboretum and the UW Waterfront Activities Center.
- * A second Montlake bridge--NO. We do not need more bridges of any kind over or near the Montlake cut.
- * Six lanes--NO. We should not be encouraging more people to drive their cars. Look what happened with I-90. Traffic grew to fit the capacity. With the advent of global warming, we know that enabling SOVs is a bad idea. A bigger 520 would be bad for the environment. We are smarter than that now. Let's not do something we will regret.

In short: Do not do anything that encourages more people to drive their cars.

Thank you.

Peter Fiddler
5744 28th Ave. NE
Seattle, WA 98105
206-525-2012