-----Original Message-----
From: R. D. Holtz [mailto:holtz@u.washington.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2006 10:53 PM
To: SR520Bridge@wsdot.wa.gov
Subject: 520 Bridge replacement

I-1132-001 My first choice would be for 8 lanes, but as that seems to be off the table, I want to express my strong support for the 6 lane alternative, either one.

As a minimun we need six lanes with an HOV lane in either direction. Not only do HOV lanes provide for buses and carpoos, but they provde important ambulance and wrecker access to the other lanes on the bridge. This access is crucial and an important advange of the 6-lane upgrade.

The 520 bridge is an important regional lifeline that we would be very remiss in not upgrading substantially while we have a chance to do so.

Sincerely yours,

Robert D. Holtz, PhD, PE (Speaking as a pvt citizen and professional Civil Engineer.)

==========
===
R. D. Holtz, PhD PE Tel:206-543-7614
Professor Fax:206-543-1543
University of Washington
Dept. of Civil & Envr. Engineering
Box 352700 132F More Hall
Seattle, WA 98195-2700 USA holtz@u.washington.edu
==========
==

I-1132-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.