

**From:** [Dick Baldwin](#)  
**To:** [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** PACIFIC PLACE OPTION  
**Date:** Monday, October 30, 2006 2:35:56 PM  
**Attachments:**

---

**I-1183-001**

I am a resident of Capitol Hill and a former resident of Montlake. From the initial presentation of the idea in the *Seattle Times* I have been convinced that the Pacific Place option for the rebuilding of SR520 is the only reasonable plan to adopt.

I have been to two presentations involving John Milton and the proponents of Pacific Place. Compared to the decision on the viaduct, this one is a no-brainer. The Montlake Bridge ceases to be a bottleneck, traffic flows more evenly past the University, bus passengers can connect easily to rapid transit (How many would walk from the current 520 bus stop up to the stadium to transfer to rapid transit?). Virtually everyone wins and no one loses.

Richard E. Baldwin  
Owner/Broker  
Windermere Real Estate/Capitol Hill, Inc.  
206.324.8900

**I-1183-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Pacific Street Interchange Option

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.