

**From:** [Paulvonckx@cs.com](mailto:Paulvonckx@cs.com)  
**To:** [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)  
**CC:** [jennifer.zeigler@gov.wa.gov](mailto:jennifer.zeigler@gov.wa.gov); [tim.ceis@seattle.gov](mailto:tim.ceis@seattle.gov); [nick.licata@seattle.gov](mailto:nick.licata@seattle.gov);  
**Subject:** 520 bridge  
**Date:** Monday, October 30, 2006 9:08:24 AM  
**Attachments:**

---

Dear Mr. Krueger,

**I-1226-001** | I support a 4-lane, transit-based plan for the 520 Bridge. Also, I request that a thorough Section 106 review be undertaken of the effects of the SR 520 Project on Washington Park Arboretum, Lake Washington Boulevard and University of Washington Campus. All are significant Olmsted cultural landscapes, all are eligible for National Register of Historic Places, and all are adversely impacted by all proposed 520 alternatives. Furthermore, since I am aware that the 520 and Alaskan Way are linked to ST 2 in an all-or-nothing ballot issue slated for Fall 2007, I request that neither the viaduct nor a tunnel be built on the waterfront, but that we implement transit service throughout the region. If you provide an environmentally holistic approach, I will support your project with my vote. Others will join me, because transit is the only fiscally responsible solution.

Thank you.

Paul Vonckx

**I-1226-001**  
**Comment Summary:**  
4-Lane Alternative

**Response:**  
See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.