

From: [Angela S. Belbeck](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments](#)
CC:
Subject: Please - No Pacific Street Interchange
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 10:07:21 AM
Attachments:

I-1245-001 | *The preferred alternative should be a four-lane SR 520.*

I-1245-002 | *The Pacific Street Interchange should be rejected.*

Additional coverage of Portage Bay, Union Bay, and Marsh and Foster Islands are simply not options--damage to those areas cannot be mitigated anywhere. In addition to affects on the natural environment, we cannot allow the additional traffic flow through the Arboretum. Please remember that Lake Washington Boulevard is a Park Boulevard, not an arterial or alternate state route.

I-1245-004 | Downtown Seattle already has a bottleneck on Interstate 5. Funneling additional traffic volumes onto I-5, whether mainline or express lanes, will magnify this bottleneck exponentially.

I-1245-003 | Finally, please consider tolls on SR 520 during peak hours, including a higher rate for single-occupancy vehicles during those times. A four-lane highway is the only reasonable alternative. Let's make it work. Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Angela Belbeck
Ravenna Resident, Seattle

I-1245-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1245-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1245-003

Comment Summary:

Tolling Scenarios, Pricing, and Revenue

Response:

See Section 3.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1245-004

Comment Summary:

Freeway Operations (I-5 Area)

Response:

See Section 5.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.