

I-1271-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: [Suzan Fant](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: Released from eSafe SPAM quarantine: Arboretum
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 7:27:39 AM
Attachments:

Dear Mr. Krueger,

I-1271-001 | I've gone to the WA gov. website and written before on this topic with no reply from anyone. I hope that someone will take note this time.

Once again.....

I support a 4-lane, transit-based plan for the 520 Bridge. Also, I request that a thorough Section 106 review be undertaken of the effects of the SR 520 Project on Washington Park Arboretum, Lake Washington Boulevard and University of Washington Campus. All are significant Olmsted cultural landscapes, all are eligible for National Register of Historic Places, and all are adversely impacted by all proposed 520 alternatives. Furthermore, since I am aware that the 520 and Alaskan Way are linked to ST 2 in an all-or-nothing ballot issue slated for Fall 2007, I request that neither the viaduct nor a tunnel be built on the waterfront, but that we implement transit service throughout the region. If you provide an environmentally holistic approach, I will support your project with my vote. Others will join me, because transit is the only fiscally responsible solution.

Thank you.