

From: albinonegro@comcast.net
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: 520 Replacement plan
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 3:58:46 PM
Attachments:

I-1279-001

As an Eastside resident (Kirkland) it alarms me how little the Eastside seems to care about this. I know I would go into Seattle a lot more if traffic wasn't so bad. Both as a person who loves the city's night life with the sports and dining, but also as a twenty something family with a child. We just don't go that often because it seems like 520 and 405 south from 520 to I-90 are always backed up. That's why it concerns me a little that the eight lane option hasn't been explored or considered more. I think with the rate of growth this area has seen in the past twenty years, a six lane option will be the same traffic snarl it is now, only twenty years down the road and \$4.38 billion later. I was always taught to measure twice and cut once, but here it seems like we measured once and said "That's a good fit, lets go with that." rather than measuring again to see if there was a better fit. But since the eight lane version probably will not happen, I would have to say

I-1279-002

the six lane Pacific Street Interchange would be the best scenario. I do believe that needs to be along with the replacement of the Montlake bridge. I think this would greatly help relieve the traffic congestion around the university and the two western "high rises". Hopefully this will also help the free flow of traffic all the way to the Eastside.

Sincerely,

Forest Graham

I-1279-001

Comment Summary:

8-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1279-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.