

I-0019-001

**Online Comment by User: alowin**

Submitted on: 8/22/2006 4:12:00 PM  
Comment Category: General Comments  
Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-1  
Address: 2320 Delmar, Sea, 98102

**Comment:**

I vehemently oppose the "Pacific Interchange" alternative that some Montlake people are pushing to be built with other people's money.

Cost: The stated cost of a major high bridge would be horrendous. Plus I surely expect cost-overruns of the sort experienced on the Bay Bridge in SF.

Destroys the waterways: A high bridge is utterly out of place. It is incongruous for the area. A high bridge would destroy the bucolic views along 520, from Portage Bay thru to Lake Washington.

I support the proposed new routing to Pacific Ave, avoiding the old Montlake Bridge. Most of the benefits the Better Bridge folks claim arise not from a new high bridge but rather from the proposed new Pacific Ave routing.

If a high bridge is chosen I and neighbors will work actively and hard to kill the entire 520 rebuilding project. We would support a lower profile project as less costly and more attractive to our statewide voters.

**I-0019-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Pacific Street Interchange Option

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.