

I-0044-001

Online Comment by User: barrettmw@msn.com

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 10:28:00 PM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98133

Comment:

A highway, like a vacuum, will always be filled. Whether you build 6 lanes or 8, SR 520 will have traffic jams and slowdowns. The unreliable conveniences of a bigger bridge will not balance damage and loss to a wetland and arboretum which together make a city jewel. Forget it. Any tiny remaining pieces that remind of of what once was, or that give respite from the urban setting, must be protected.

Instead, consider a different kind of bridge. Is a floating bridge, which must be replaced in such a short lifetime, the best choice? And this is a choice which prohibits a double decker bridge, which could double carrying capacity and/or allow bike and public transit lanes.

A wider bridge is not a good solution. Especially if it ruins Foster island, Marsh island, and the Arboretum.

Yours truly,

Susan Ward

I-0044-001

Comment Summary:

Alternatives Development

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.