

Online Comment by User: carl@demarcken.org

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 10:44:00 PM

Comment Category: Comments on Alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: 4013 ne 45, seattle, wa 98105

Comment:

I-0080-001

The six-lane alternatives, by making it even easier for large numbers of people to travel in single-occupancy vehicles, will add to the city's traffic problems rather than reducing them. Without strong incentives for the public to use public transportation, and the city to provide practical forms of it, I am opposed to increases in the size of road arteries. It will add to pollution and impose further traffic on smaller road systems (the arboretum road, montlake blvd, etc) that are already full.

I prefer the 4-lane alternative paid for with heavy usage taxes (tolls), because I think it is the most responsible in the long run for the city to be trying to reduce road traffic, not increase it.

Comment Category: Comments on Environmental Effects

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Comment:

I-0080-002

It is very difficult to imagine the pacific interchange option, with its intersection above the arboretum islands and increased traffic through the arboretum drive, not significantly harming the arboretum, both ecologically and visually. The mere construction will be extremely destructive.

I am opposed to:

the arboretum off-ramp;

the pacific interchange option intersection over the arboretum islands

the pacific interchange option bridge over the channel

I-0080-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0080-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.