

Online Comment by User: Darwin Roberts

Submitted on: 9/23/2006 1:22:00 PM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-7, Page-17

Address: 4331 Latona Ave NE, Seattle, WA 98105

Comment:

I-0124-001 | I believe it is very important for the future economic vitality of our region to move forward on this project. We should try to get it done well before there is a chance for a catastrophic failure of the existing bridge.

I-0124-002 | I would support using a toll to help fund its construction.

Comment Category: Comments on Alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-7, Page-17

Comment:

I-0124-003 | As a nearby resident (Wallingford) who travels through the project area frequently, I support the Pacific Interchange option because of the congestion relief and transit connectivity that it would provide. It is very significant to me that no other option makes nearly the same difference in transit times across the Montlake Cut.

Seattle should also consider the value of having a third non-drawbridge connection across the Ship Canal besides the Aurora and Ship Canal bridges, that would also be built to current seismic standards.

While the Pacific Interchange option has some greater effects on the Arboretum area, the freeway is going through the Arboretum no matter what else happens.

I-0124-001

Comment Summary:

Alternatives Development

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0124-002

Comment Summary:

Tolling Scenarios, Pricing, and Revenue

Response:

See Section 3.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0124-003

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.