

**Online Comment by User: George D. Holland**

---

**Submitted on:** 10/23/2006 1:28:00 PM  
**Comment Category:** 6-Lane Alternative  
**Comment Location:** Chapter-1, Page-1  
**Address:** , , 98105

**Comment:**

It appears this is the best alternative that both maximizes traffic flow efficiency and minimizes both environmental and neighborhood impact. I think it would be a mistake not to add the second Montlake drawbridge at the same time, as well as perhaps adding lanes to Pacific. We currently have gridlock on Pacific and it is only going to get worse.

**Comment Category:** Transportation and Traffic

**Comment Location:** Chapter-1, Page-1

**Comment:**

A six lane option is a must, and it appears the original plan has the least impact on neighborhoods and the environment. Add the second drawbridge over the Montlake cut to really get traffic moving on Pacific again.

**Comment Category:** Parks and Recreation

**Comment Location:** Chapter-1, Page-1

**Comment:**

The original 6 lane option seems to get a lot of value in terms of traffic flow and only has a minimal impact on the size of footprint. If one thinks of the footprint as both the ground covered as well as the 50 feet or so to either side, than the addition of two lanes is not that large a percentage.

I-0206-001

**I-0206-001**

**Comment Summary:**

6-Lane Alternative

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.