

I-0223-001

Online Comment by User: gsatterw

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 8:52:00 AM

Comment Category: Parks and Recreation

Comment Location: Chapter-7, Page-7

Address: , , 98105

Comment:

I dont feel that the Pacific interchange provides nearly enough benefit for the cost of running a wider freeway and wider and more intrusive ramps through the arboretum. The benefits of the Pacific interchange option need to be more clearly defined and studied. Currently I have heard and read varying descriptions of the benefit from the Pacific interchange and it seems the people most in favor of the Pacific interchange also probably have the most to gain. An unbiased cost benefit analysis (financial, environmental, and societal) should be undertaken and then we can make a decision on whether we need the Pacific interchange, or the six-lane option will suffice. I know both will have major impacts on the arboretum, but six-lane imption will have significantly less impact. thanks for your time.

I-0223-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.