

**Online Comment by User: jasonctaylor**

---

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 11:34:00 AM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-5, Page-8

Address: , Seattle, WAshington 98144

**Comment:**

Dear Policymakers,

**I-0256-001**

I live in Seattle and urge you to consider a tunnel or 4 lane build when replacing the 520 bridge.

Our natural resources are precious and limited. To build a six lane highway through an Olmstead Legacy park and a wetland area is an incredibly short-sighted action.

The Arboretum and its surrounding wetland are important for ecological diversity, recreation and tourism. Once these gems are compromised there will be no opportunities for replacing them.

Simply building more lanes does not address our fundamental traffic problems. A 6 lane (or greater) option will make SR 520 less beautiful, destroy wetlands and destroy the recreation opportunities that make Seattle & King County a liveable place.

Short-sightedness in this endeavour will ultimately cost Washington and King County vital taxpayers' dollars. Quite simply people will move to a state that better manages its natural resources and recreation opportunities.

Respectfully,

Jason C. Taylor

**I-0256-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Arboretum (Concerns)

**Response:**

See Section 9.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.