

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Susan Last Name: Black  
 Organization/Membership Affiliation:  
 Address: 7025 5th Ave. N. W. E-mail: sblack@sbassociates.com  
 City: Seattle State: WA Zip Code: 98117

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

Alternatives		Environmental Topics	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Comment on All Alternatives	<input type="checkbox"/> Construction	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise	
<input type="checkbox"/> 4-Lane Alternative	<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural and Historic	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Environmental Effects	
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane Alternative	<input type="checkbox"/> Funding and Tolling	<input type="checkbox"/> Parks and Recreation	
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange	<input type="checkbox"/> Land and Structures	<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation and Transit	
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge	<input type="checkbox"/> Fish and Wildlife	<input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands/Water Resources	
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access	<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhoods and Communities		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other 6-Lane Option			
	Other Topics		
	<input type="checkbox"/> General Comment	<input type="checkbox"/> Urban Design	

Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.

I-0313-001

I have read the executive summary thoroughly, and the appendices that further explain the alternatives to the proposals. I found the organization confusing, as there is no stated preferred alternative, inadequate traffic and impacts data relative to the implications of tolls on use and new capacity on traffic patterns.

Specifically: I oppose the Pacific Interchange options completely, due to visual, cost and impacts to wetlands, the navigable channel, views from Laurelhurst, the Arboretum, Rainier Vista. I oppose any form of viaduct reaching to Husky Stadium.

I-0313-002

Further I believe that if the on and off ramps to and through the arboretum are closed for 3-5 years, people would have found another way to get home, and can/should be encouraged to continue to use that rather than LWB through the Arboretum.

As such, I find no alternative acceptable, because they all continue to use the undersized LWB to carry the traffic volume of today plus the traffic volume of tomorrow.

I would like to see serious examination given to enhancing the capacity of 23rd Avenue to carry the bridge traffic south.

I-0313-003

Prioritize transit for the 4 lane option, even at the expense of pedestrian bicycle lane. 6 lanes can fit into the 4-lane bridge section (97 feet wide) with HOV and two SOV lanes and two shoulders each way. All need to be narrowed to minimum dimension, but they fit, and this would be preferable.

Finally, with a second Montlake Bridge to carry dedicated HOV traffic, whose exit lights are prioritized to pass them through to the Pacific Street/Montlake Boulevard Intersection, traffic would be flowing much better, 770 parking stalls at the U would not be lost/or have to be replaced, and impacts would substantially be contained in the already impacted area.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment: In the end I:  
 oppose Pacific Interchange Option  
 endorse 2nd Montlake Bridge  
 oppose any off/on ramps directly into arboretum  
 suggest 4 lane option prioritized for transit  
 traffic control prioritized for transit at every intersection  
 hope to see better analysis on 23rd than has been done before.

Susan Black, Landscape Architect

How did you hear about this open house?

Newspaper ad  Postcard in mail  Community Calendar  
 Poster  Email announcement  Project webpage  
 From a friend or neighbor  Other

### I-0313-001

#### Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

#### Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

### I-0313-002

#### Comment Summary:

Arboretum Area (Local Streets)

#### Response:

See Section 5.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

### I-0313-003

#### Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

#### Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.