

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATEMENT OF SANDRA KRAUS

I-0338-001 My name is Sandy, Sandra Kraus. Oh, and so I'm here to give you my comments about the 520 bridge replacement.

And I, I have no objection to either the four-lane or six-lane alternative and probably would lean towards the six-lane alternative, basically because I feel that, if we're going to spend all this money, we should get something for it. And it would be really wonderful to get that HOV lane installed.

I-0338-002 I guess what I am concerned with is the impact of noise in the various neighborhoods. I live in Portage Bay-Roanoke Park, so I wanted to make sure that either alternative would mitigate as much noise impact on our neighborhood.

I-0338-003 I'm also concerned with community continuity and would strongly recommend that the lid at Portage Bay be built for either the four or six-lane alternative. Right now it's only shown for the six lane. But our community needs connected -- whether or not it's a four lane or a six-lane alternative. So I would -- that would be my one major comment: Strongly recommend that the lid be included on both alternatives.

I-0338-001
Comment Summary:
6-Lane Alternative

Response:
See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0338-002
Comment Summary:
Noise Walls

Response:
See Section 12.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0338-003
Comment Summary:
Bicycle/Pedestrian Path

Response:
See Section 2.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.