SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

COMMENT FORM

September 21, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS.
Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary.
Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back.
Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.
Thank you for your comments!

Please tell us your zip code: _98004_

Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

☐ Resident in the project area   ☐ Cyclist   ☐ Park user
☐ Commuter who uses SR 520   ☐ Pedestrian   ☒ Interested citizen
                                                          ☐ Other _____

Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

Alternatives
☐ Comment on All Alternatives
☐ 4-Lane Alternative
☐ 6-Lane Alternative
☐ 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
☐ 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
☐ 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
☐ Other 6-Lane Option

Environmental Topics
☐ Construction
☐ Cultural & Historic Resources
☐ Funding and Tolling
☐ Land and Structures
☐ Fish and Wildlife
☐ Neighborhoods & Communities
☐ Noise
☐ Other Environmental Effects
☐ Parks and Recreation
☐ Transportation and Transit
☐ Wetlands/Water Resources

Other Topics
☐ General Comment   ☐ Urban Design

I-0408-001 THOSE WHO CROSS THE BRIDGE EXPECT TO PAY TOLLS. THOSE WEST OF THE LAKE WHO WILL USE THE MOST EXPENSIVE PART OF 520 (MADE SO BY THEIR REQUESTS/DEMANDS) SHOULD ALSO PAY TOLLS — FULL OR REDUCED. THIS WOULD HELP THE FINANCIAL PICTURE AND WOULD PROBABLY REDUCE THE NUMBER OF VEHICLES USING 520 TO MEET I 5.

WITH THE NEW PACIFIC BRIDGE LOCAL DRIVERS WOULD BE DRIVING APPROXIMATELY 1.3 ON NEW 520 PROJECT CONSTRUCTION (NOT INCLUDING MONTLAKE BLVD.). WHAT POSSIBLE REASON THEY SHOULD NOT HELP FINANCE IT?

I-0408-002 ALSO: RETAIN BUS STOP AT 84TH

Continued on back

I-0408-001

Comment Summary:
Tolling Scenarios, Pricing, and Revenue

Response:
See Section 3.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0408-002

Comment Summary:
Eastside Concerns

Response:
See Section 24.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

How did you hear about this open house?

☑ Newspaper ad   ☒ Postcard in mail   ☐ Community calendar

☐ Poster   ☒ Email announcement   ☐ Project webpage

☑ From a friend or neighbor   ☐ Other: _____

Deposit your form in the boxes provided tonight or send your comment by mail to:

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project
c/o Paul Krueger
414 Olive Way, Suite 400
Seattle, Washington 98101-1209

Comments must be postmarked by <u>October 2, 2006</u>.

Prefer email?
Submit comments online at www.SR520DEIScomments.com.

Email comments to: SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov.

Do you want to stay involved in this project? We send monthly email updates, and periodic mail announcements about upcoming project meetings. If you would like to be included on the mailing list, please fill in the following information:

Name: _R H TATE_

Address: _9406 NE 14th ST_

City: _CLYDE HILL_   State: _WA_   Zip: _98004_

E-mail: _tater@comcast.net_

Also – check out our website at *www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge*

Washington State
Department of Transportation

SOUNDTRANSIT

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration