



# SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

## COMMENT FORM

September 21, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS. Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary. Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back. Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.

Thank you for your comments!

Please tell us your zip code: 98004

Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

- Resident in the project area
- Commuter who uses SR 520
- Cyclist
- Pedestrian
- Park user
- Interested citizen
- Other

Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

- |                                                             |                                                                    |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Alternatives</b>                                         |                                                                    | <b>Environmental Topics</b>                                     |                                                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Comment on All Alternatives        | <input type="checkbox"/> 4-Lane Alternative                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Construction                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Noise                       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane Alternative      | <input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange    | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural & Historic Resources          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Environmental Effects |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge | <input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access | <input type="checkbox"/> Funding and Tolling                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Parks and Recreation                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other 6-Lane Option                | <input type="checkbox"/> Other 6-Lane Option                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Land and Structures                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation and Transit             |
|                                                             |                                                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish and Wildlife           | <input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands/Water Resources               |
|                                                             |                                                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhoods & Communities |                                                                 |
|                                                             |                                                                    | <b>Other Topics</b>                                             |                                                                 |
|                                                             |                                                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> General Comment                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urban Design                |

I-0415-001 The ~~SR~~ 520 Bridge will have an enormous impact on the future of West Bellevue. In order for West Bellevue to prosper as a city, efficient movement of people and vehicles is crucial. This means the six lane alternative is imperative. Several things must be incorporated into the new bridge to accommodate the surrounding communities and protect the environment. The residential communities of Medina and Clyde Hill still need access as I do from Main Street in old Bellevue. But most importantly, lids over the new structure to capture noise, and the <sup>participation</sup> ~~protection~~ of water running off of the new structure

Continued on back

I-0415-001  
Comment Summary:  
6-Lane Alternative

Response:  
See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.



# SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

## COMMENT FORM

Additional Sheet:

Last Name: SMITH Zip Code: 98004 Page 2 of 2

September 21, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

I-0415-001 | into the lake, are needed to reduce the impact  
to the communities and to the environment.

I-0415-002 | Personally, I don't think anyone has yet to come up  
with the best possible solution. I think the best  
civil engineers in the world should be found to create  
entirely new ideas and technologies that serve both  
the primary function of moving more people and  
traffic, but also reducing all impacts.  
Since we will appreciate a magnified return on  
every dollar spent, cost should not be the  
primary consideration. Worst of all, the four lane  
alternative would eventually cripple the eastside.



I-0415-002

### Comment Summary:

Alternatives Development

### Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.