
From: John Nordstrom [mailto:johnnilspilot@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 03, 2006 2:29 PM
To: kruegep@WSDOT.wa.gov; SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov.com
Cc: macdond@WSDOT.wa.gov
Subject: 520 comments from John N. Nordstrom

Doug, Paul and
Committee,

I-0432-001

I have always wondered why massive traffic volumes from NE King County would be routed south on 405 and I-5, across the lake and north on the other side when the north end of Lake Washington route is underutilized with only Kenmore surface streets as alternatives. 520 over the lake traverses so many nice residential areas on both sides of the lake, why do we risk downgrading them. A reliever freeway north of the lake and a high quality fix of the 520 bridge would answer 405 and I-5 questions of full capacity and take traffic from interchanges in Bellevue and Montlake/ I-5. A new higher capacity 520 would be a mini-disaster in my opinion. John N. Nordstrom

I-0432-001

Comment Summary:

Alternatives Development

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.