

I-0437-001
Comment Summary:
4-Lane Alternative

Response:
See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hunter, David A [<mailto:david.a.hunter@boeing.com>]
Sent: Monday, September 11, 2006 4:48 PM
To: sr520bridge@wsdot.wa.gov
Subject: 520 thoughts

I-0437-001

The current 520 looks simple and beautiful with its 4 lanes.

The I90 bridge looks like somebody's trying to pave over the lake with the two separate bridges. Even though there is water between it looks like there isn't. They should have at least been matched identical

There should be only 1 520 bridge no more than 9 lanes total across with a single bicycle/jogging lane.

Improvements should be made on getting on and off to increase traffic flow. That merging of the HOV lane on the east side right before getting onto the bridge has got to go. There is also a climb and a drop that some people must think is there last mile on earth because they slow down to 0. Then add in the sunset. Maybe the only high rise should be in the shallow end on the west side and then come back down to meet the land.

David A Hunter
206-662-4748/206-227-8388
david.a.hunter@boeing.com