

From: M Smith [mailto:jema8675@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 11:04 PM
To: SR 520 Bridge SDEIS
Subject: bridge comment - train

I-211-001

Hello. My question is, if we are going to build a new 520 bridge, then why don't we plan for it to accomodate rail? To me, it doesn't make sense to steal away traffic lanes on I-90 while we could put them on 520. Thank you, M. Smith

I-211-001

Section 2.4 in the Final EIS explains why initial implementation of light rail transit on SR 520 is not planned. The decision to locate Sound Transit's initial east-west light rail transit corridor on I-90 rather than SR 520 has been made through extensive regional deliberation (see Table 2-2 of the Final EIS). Section 2.4 also explains how the SR 520, I-5 to Medina project can accommodate future high capacity transit, such as proposed bus rapid transit or potential future light rail.