

**From:** Francie Williams [mailto:FEvans@nwadmin.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 07, 2010 2:38 PM  
**To:** SR 520 Bridge SDEIS  
**Subject:** 520 Bridge Replacement Comment

April 7, 2010

To Whom It May Concern:

I-228-001

This letter is to comment on the proposed placement of the on and off ramps that will be east of the Montlake Interchange and are part of Option A+ for the replacement of the 520 Bridge. The current 520 Bridge ramps feed into Lake Washington Boulevard as it exits the Arboretum. The new plan has them located at the end of Roanoke Street. This is not an improvement, as the vast majority of users are those who go to and come from the South through the Arboretum. The new placement will encourage traffic to divert through the neighborhood to access the new ramps. Not only is this disruptive for the neighborhood, it is not safe as there are many children in the area.

I-228-002

We believe that the best plan for the 520 Bridge Replacement Project is **Option A**. If Plan A+ is adopted we feel the ramps should stay where they are presently located. The Montlake neighborhood is a vibrant neighborhood and should be considered in the plans to replace the 520 Bridge.

I-228-003

Thank you for your consideration of this letter.

Sincerely,

John and Francie Williams  
Montlake Neighbors

< Disclaimer >

Confidentiality Statement-This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. This communication may contain material protected by HIPAA, ERISA, other federal or state law or the attorney-client privilege. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. You are instructed to destroy the message and notify Northwest Administrators by immediate reply that you have received this e-mail and any accompanying files in error. Please bring any questions you may have on this instruction to the attention of Northwest Administrators immediately. Northwest Administrators does not accept responsibility for changes to e-mails that occur after they have been sent.

### I-228-001

Comment noted. WSDOT received a number of comments in support of and in opposition to Options A, K, and L and the associated suboptions. These opinions are summarized in the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement Summary of Comments (WSDOT, April 2010), available at <http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Projects/SR520Bridge/SDEIS.htm>.

Since publication of the SDEIS, WSDOT has identified a Preferred Alternative, which is similar to Option A but with a number of design refinements that would improve mobility and safety while reducing negative effects. Chapter 2 of the Final EIS describes the Preferred Alternative and Chapters 5 and 6 describe its environmental effects.

### I-228-002

Comment noted. WSDOT received a number of comments in support of and in opposition to Options A, K, and L and the associated suboptions. These opinions are summarized in the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement Summary of Comments (WSDOT, April 2010), available at <http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Projects/SR520Bridge/SDEIS.htm>.

Since publication of the SDEIS, WSDOT has identified a Preferred Alternative, which is similar to Option A but with a number of design refinements that would improve mobility and safety while reducing negative effects. Chapter 2 of the Final EIS describes the Preferred Alternative and Chapters 5 and 6 describe its environmental effects.

### I-228-003

WSDOT has and will continue to coordinate with communities throughout design and construction of the project.