k	520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Medina: Bridge Replacement and HOV Project		
SR 520, I-	5 to Medina: Supplemental Draft EIS Con	nment Form	
Impact Stater	is form to share your comments on the content provided ment document. WSDOT will consider all comments receiv al decision in the environmental review process. Thank yo	ved between Jan. 22 and Ap	
You can provi	ide comments using one of the following methods:		
	omments to Jenifer Young, SDEIS Environmental Manage		nent
<ul> <li>Mail your c of Transpor</li> <li>E-mail your</li> <li>Speak to a</li> </ul>		· ·	nent 4/14/2010 1:49
Mail your c of Transpor E-mail your Speak to a Lake Union	omments to Jenifer Young, SDEIS Environmental Manage tation, 600 Stewart Street, Suite 520, Seattle, WA 98101. comments to SR520Bridge_SDEIS@wsdot.wa.gov. court reporter at an environmental hearing scheduled for Park Naval Reserve Building, 860 Terry Ave. N., Seattle.	r 5 – 7 p.m., Feb. 23, at	
<ul> <li>Mail your c of Transpor</li> <li>E-mail your</li> <li>Speak to a Lake Union</li> <li>Name</li> </ul>	omments to Jenifer Young, SDEIS Environmental Manage tation, 600 Stewart Street, Suite 520, Seattle, WA 98101. comments to SR520Bridge_SDEIS@wsdot.wa.gov. court reporter at an environmental hearing scheduled for Park Naval Reserve Building, 860 Terry Ave. N., Seattle.	5 – 7 p.m., Feb. 23, at CommentDate:	4/14/2010 1:49
<ul> <li>Mail your c of Transpor</li> <li>E-mail your</li> <li>Speak to a Lake Union</li> <li>Name</li> <li>E-mail</li> </ul>	omments to Jenifer Young, SDEIS Environmental Manage tation, 600 Stewart Street, Suite 520, Seattle, WA 98101. comments to SR520Bridge_SDEIS@wsdot.wa.gov. court reporter at an environmental hearing scheduled for Park Naval Reserve Building, 860 Terry Ave. N., Seattle. Linnea Hirst Jwvquilter@comcast.net	5 – 7 p.m., Feb. 23, at CommentDate:	4/14/2010 1:49
<ul> <li>Mail your c of Transpor</li> <li>E-mail your</li> <li>Speak to a Lake Union</li> <li>Name</li> <li>E-mail</li> <li>Address:</li> </ul>	omments to Jenifer Young, SDEIS Environmental Manage tation, 600 Stewart Street, Suite 520, Seattle, WA 98101. comments to SR520Bridge_SDEIS@wsdot.wa.gov. court reporter at an environmental hearing scheduled for Park Naval Reserve Building, 860 Terry Ave. N., Seattle. Linnea Hirst Iwvquilter@comcast.net 1602 E. McGraw St	5 – 7 p.m., Feb. 23, at CommentDate:	4/14/2010 1:49

**I-267-001** It seems so short-slighted to plan a 75-100 year bridge that doesn't work for light rail, and to plan an interchange that entices vehicles to easily use the Arboretum. If we don't get it right now, just how do you all expect us to lower our carbon footprint and try to put the brakes on climate change?

Please try harder to have a broader horizon in this plan you keep pushing. It isn't just a highway!

These comments will become part of the public record for the SR 520, 1-5 to Medina: Bridge Replacement and HOV Project Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Personal information is voluntary and will become part of the public record if provided. The Washington State Department of Transportation is a public agency and is subject to the State of Washington's Public Records Act (RCW 42.56). Therefore, comments may be made available to anyone requesting them for non-commercial purposes.

## I-267-001

The SR 520, I-5 to Medina project would complete the HOV lane system in the corridor, improving reliability and efficiency for transit and carpools, but would not add general-purpose lanes. Thus, the project is aligned with improving the overall efficiency of the transportation system by creating incentives for people to choose an alternative to driving alone. Section 2.4 in the Final EIS explains why initial implementation of light rail transit on SR 520 is not planned. Section 2.4 also explains how the SR 520, I-5 to Medina project can accommodate future high capacity transit, such as proposed bus rapid transit or potential future light rail. While WSDOT believed that the design of the SR 520, I-5 to Medina project already accommodated potential future light rail, the agency worked with the City of Seattle and Sound Transit to identify changes that would enhance the corridor's rail compatibility. The Preferred Alternative reflects these design changes and allows for two potential future rail options.

The addition of a dedicated lane for transit and HOV, along with the reduction in general-purpose demand achieved by tolling, would provide benefits regarding greenhouse gas emissions. As discussed in Section 5.9 of the Final EIS, the Preferred Alternative would result in a 4 percent reduction in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in the project area compared to the No Build Alternative, with a corresponding 4 percent reduction in annual fuel consumption. The reduction in VMT results in a reduction of approximately 10 percent in GHG emissions compared to the No Build Alternative, with state legislation calling for such reductions and would contribute to other regional and national reduction efforts. It should be noted that this estimate does not take into account the estimated 60 percent increase in transit ridership that would be achieved if bus rapid transit is implemented in the corridor as part of the SR 520 High Capacity Transit Plan.