

1 Bob Messina
2 1301 North 90th Street
3 Seattle, Washington 98103
4

H-040-001

5 I support the core tunnel project. I do not
6 support the elevated structure. Regarding the

H-040-002

7 seawall reconstruction, I would prioritize completing
8 the entire seawall as the first priority if the core
9 project could be expanded to do more construction.

10 That is to say, if I chose between a completed
11 seawall and a lowered Aurora, which of those two
12 would I prioritize, and I would choose the completed
13 seawall construction over the lowered Aurora
14 construction.

H-040-003

15 My second point is that as a taxpayer, I'm
16 willing to pay, but I would like to know what the
17 cost to me would be through higher utility payments
18 or other methods of payment.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

H-040-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2006 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2006, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.

H-040-002

With the preferred Bored Tunnel Alternative, replacing the seawall would be a separate project, because the failing seawall does not have the potential to affect the seismic stability of this alignment. The Cut-and-Cover Tunnel and Elevated Structure Alternatives include replacing the seawall. Please see Chapter 3 in the Final EIS for a description of the current configuration for each alternative.

H-040-003

Because of the very wide range of taxes and assessments, it is not possible to calculate exactly how project costs will affect individual taxpayers.