

From: RACHELLE JEFFERSON
To: AWV & DJFS Comments;
CC:
Subject:
Date: Sunday, July 30, 2006 9:10:08 AM
Attachments:

I-659-001

We have dragged this on long enough!!! Having this replaced will be a major disruption to traffic, duh? tell us something we don't know.. But this disruption will be minor compare to the alternative. We **ALL** know this needs to be done and the longer we drag this on the more waste of tax payer dollars!!! This is beginning to sounds awfully familiar to another waste called the Monorail. We **THE** TAXPAYERS really got our **MONEYS WORTH** there didn't we?

I guess when it's someone else's money... waste means nothing, but hey that is our government at its finest.

Rachelle

I-659-001

The lead agencies agree that the viaduct needs to be replaced in a timely and financially responsible manner. As you noted, construction will be disruptive to traffic. The Final EIS describes the temporary construction effects and mitigation in Chapters 6 and 8, respectively.