

Mark Rogers, 8711 31st Avenue Northwest, Seattle, 98117.

H-008-001

MR. ROGERS: I wish they could incorporate an esthetic viewpoint to help -- oh, I don't know. What would be the word? You know, to -- it's too bad. If you're going to tear down the viaduct, why you can't replace, like, part of the elevated structure, just so people can have the option -- like a vista. You know, like a vista road. Not a viaduct, for replacing the viaduct, but for people who may want to bring, you know, people to town. They could charge 20 bucks a car, you know, to drive along this vista. You know what I mean? Like an automotive vista with a toll, at least to, you know -- what's the word? You know, trying to appease the people who are against the removal of the viaduct because it removes that view.

It's sort of like -- you can rephrase it, or I'll try to rephrase it on this thing -- like, a scenic turnout, an elevated scenic turnout, with a toll. They could charge 20 bucks, and I swear to God, if I went to the airport to pick guests up, I would use it. You know, a one-way toll, a scenic automotive vista for your car, you know. Because you're obviously going to drive, you know, to pick guests up at the airport, you know. I don't know.

I mean, it's just a stupid idea, but that's one thing people say: "It's the most beautiful view of Seattle." And you don't have to take a bus to see it. You can drive, you know. You know what I mean? That's the only thing.

And it would only have to be one lane. It could be, like you say, like,

H-008-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments. Constructing a bored tunnel and a mini viaduct, as suggested, would essentially result in the construction of two transportation facilities instead of one. Instead, the lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative. Please see the Final EIS for current information about the proposed build alternatives.

H-008-001 | you know, a ride at Disneyland, you know, only for your car, not a -- you know, but it would be a highway. It would still be a road. It would be an elevated road above street level, so it would be nonstop both ways, no traffic lights or, you know, surface congestion, none of that. I don't know.

That's my thought. If they could incorporate that, more people would probably say, "Hey, at least we have an option to see the water from our car without traffic," you know. I mean, it would be a mini, mini, mini viaduct, one lane each way, going this way and that way. And then, you know, why, they could probably put a lid on that and have a park and charge people 20 bucks each way, so they could -- you know. And then people from downtown can go up there and have a picnic in the park if they wanted to, you know.

And, I mean, then you can still bore that tunnel. I mean, they could bore that tunnel and appease everybody, appease the people that want to be able to show people the city from a car and still have a bored tunnel and move traffic.

That's my thought.

(End of comment.)