



Columbia River CROSSING

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Comment Form

The Columbia River Crossing project welcomes your comments on the findings of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement or any other aspect of the project or process. Please fill out this form and use additional sheets of paper if necessary. Give this form to project staff or return to the project office.

TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF

What is your home zip code? 97266 Work zip code? 97266

Do you: (check all that apply)

- Live in the project area?
 Work in the project area?
 Own a business in the project area?

- Commute through the project area?
 Other

How do you regularly travel in the project area: (check all that apply)

- Bicycle?
 Car or Truck?
 Other
 Bus?
 Walk?

Comments:

P-0175-001 | I find none of the 5 suggested options appealing.

P-0175-002 | My preferred option is simply to add light rail to the existing bridge arrangement and do deferred maintenance

P-0175-003 | The do-nothing ^{option} is not ^{meaningful}. It ignores the deferred maintenance cost which underlies or is avoided by all the options.

1. WHICH BRIDGE OPTION DO YOU SUPPORT? (please check any that you would support)

- Replace the existing bridges
 Supplement the existing bridges with a new structure
 Do nothing—make no changes to the existing bridges
 No opinion

- over -

P-0175-001

Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments on the I-5 CRC DEIS.

P-0175-002

The evaluation of the five alternatives in the DEIS was preceded by an extensive evaluation and screening of a wide array of possible solutions to the CRC project's Purpose and Need statement. Chapter 2 of the DEIS (Section 2.5) explains how the project's Sponsoring Agencies generated ideas and solicited the public, stakeholders, other agencies, and tribes for ideas on how to meet the Purpose and Need. This effort produced a long list of potential solutions, many of which were non-auto oriented options such as various transit modes and techniques for operating the existing highway system more efficiently without any capital investment. These options were evaluated for whether and how they met the project's Purpose and Need, and the findings were reviewed by project sponsors, the public, agencies, and other stakeholders. Alternatives that included only TDM/TSM strategies, or provided only transit improvements, would provide benefits, but could only address a very limited portion of the project's purpose and need. This extensive analysis found that in order for an alternative to meet the six "needs" included in the Purpose and Need (described in Chapter 1 of the DEIS), it had to provide at least some measure of capital improvements to I-5 in the project area. Alternatives that did not include such improvements did not adequately address the seismic vulnerability of the existing I-5 bridges, traffic congestion on I-5, or the existing safety problems caused by sub-standard design of the highway in this corridor. The DEIS evaluated alternatives with more demand management (higher toll) and increased transit service with less investment in highway infrastructure improvements (Alternatives 4 and 5) compared to the toll and transit service levels included in Alternatives 2 and 3. The additional service and higher toll provided only marginal reductions in I-5 vehicle volumes, and they came primarily at the cost of greater traffic diversion

2. WHAT HIGH CAPACITY TRANSIT MODE DO YOU SUPPORT? (please check any that you would support)

- P-0175-004** Bus rapid transit between Vancouver and Portland
- Add light rail between Vancouver and Portland
- Do not add high capacity transit between Vancouver and Portland
- No opinion

3. WOULD YOU SUPPORT BRINGING BUS RAPID TRANSIT OR LIGHT RAIL TO THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS? (please check any that you would support)

	Yes	No	Unsure	No Opinion
Lincoln Terminus (39th and Main)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kiggins Bowl Terminus (I-5 and 45th)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clark College MOS Terminus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mill Plain MOS Terminus (15th and Main)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

DO YOU WANT TO STAY INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT? | Optional

YES NO Would you like to be added to the Project mailing list?

Name (First & Last Name, Organization)

Address (Street, City, State, Zip)

E-mail (enter address to receive monthly electronic updates)

Thank you!

Give this form to project staff or return to the project office:

Postal Mail

Columbia River Crossing Project
C/O Heather Gundersen, Environmental Manager
700 Washington Street, Suite 300
Vancouver, WA 98660

Fax

360-737-0294

E-mail

DraftEISfeedback@columbiarivercrossing.org

Draft EIS information

www.columbiarivercrossing.org/CurrentTopics/
DraftEIS.aspx

Submit Online Comments

www.ColumbiaRiverCrossing.org

Comments must be postmarked by July 1, 2008



Headout 050808

to I-205. This analysis found that a more balanced investment in highway and transit, as represented by Alternatives 2 and 3, performed considerably better on a broad set of criteria.

P-0175-003

Deferred maintenance costs associated with the existing bridges were considered in the project's cost analyses

P-0175-004

Thank you for your comment. Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making.