



Columbia River **CROSSING** Draft Environmental Impact Statement  
**Comment Form** Columbia River Crossing

JUN 16 2008

The Columbia River Crossing project welcomes your comments on the findings of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement or any other aspect of the project or process. Please fill out this form and use additional sheets of paper if necessary. Give this form to project staff or return to the project office.

**TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF**

What is your home zip code? 97008 Work zip code? 97008

Do you: (check all that apply)

- Live in the project area?  
 Work in the project area?  
 Own a business in the project area?

Very rare  
 Commute through the project area?  
 Other

How do you regularly travel in the project area: (check all that apply)

- Bicycle?  Bus?  
 Car or Truck?  Walk?  
 Other Very rare

Comments:

P-1115-001 Before invest many billions taxpayers money in this project - first - try to improve traffic access bridge.

P-1115-002 Hire me as a Consultant/Manager for to improve traffic through river first - if it will not work - then do, ~~cost~~ invest money, huge money in this project

**1. WHICH BRIDGE OPTION DO YOU SUPPORT? (please check any that you would support)**

- P-1115-003  Replace the existing bridges  
 Supplement the existing bridges with a new structure  
 Do nothing — make no changes to the existing bridges, but hire me first for improve traffic  
 No opinion

- over -

**P-1115-001**

The evaluation of the five alternatives in the DEIS was preceded by an extensive evaluation and screening of a wide array of possible solutions to the CRC project's Purpose and Need statement. Chapter 2 of the DEIS (Section 2.5) explains how the project's Sponsoring Agencies generated ideas and solicited the public, stakeholders, other agencies, and tribes for ideas on how to meet the Purpose and Need. This effort produced a long list of potential solutions, many of which were non-auto oriented options such as various transit modes and techniques for operating the existing highway system more efficiently without any capital investment. These options were evaluated for whether and how they met the project's Purpose and Need, and the findings were reviewed by project sponsors, the public, agencies, and other stakeholders. Alternatives that included only TDM/TSM strategies, or provided only transit improvements, would provide benefits, but could only address a very limited portion of the project's purpose and need. This extensive analysis found that in order for an alternative to meet the six "needs" included in the Purpose and Need (described in Chapter 1 of the DEIS), it had to provide at least some measure of capital improvements to I-5 in the project area. Alternatives that did not include such improvements did not adequately address the seismic vulnerability of the existing I-5 bridges, traffic congestion on I-5, or the existing safety problems caused by sub-standard design of the highway in this corridor. The DEIS evaluated alternatives with more demand management (higher toll) and increased transit service with less investment in highway infrastructure improvements (Alternatives 4 and 5) compared to the toll and transit service levels included in Alternatives 2 and 3. The additional service and higher toll provided only marginal reductions in I-5 vehicle volumes, and they came primarily at the cost of greater traffic diversion to I-205. This analysis found that a more balanced investment in highway and transit, as represented by Alternatives 2 and 3, performed considerably better on a broad set of criteria.

**2. WHAT HIGH CAPACITY TRANSIT MODE DO YOU SUPPORT? (please check any that you would support)**
**P-1115-002** Bus rapid transit between Vancouver and Portland

 Add Light rail between Vancouver and Portland

 Do not add high capacity transit between Vancouver and Portland, *but hire me first*
 No opinion

**3. WOULD YOU SUPPORT BRINGING BUS RAPID TRANSIT OR LIGHT RAIL TO THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS? (please check any that you would support)**

	Yes	No	Unsure	No Opinion
Lincoln Terminus (39th and Main)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kiggins Bowl Terminus (I-5 and 45th)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clark College MOS Terminus	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mill Plain MOS Terminus (15th and Main)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**DO YOU WANT TO STAY INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT? | Optional**
 YES  NO Would you like to be added to the Project mailing list? *No*

Name (First &amp; Last Name, Organization)

*PAVEL GOBERMAN, Candidate for Commissioner of BOLI*

Address (Street, City, State, Zip)

*P.O. Box 1664  
Beaverton, OR 97075*

E-mail (enter address to receive monthly electronic updates)

*allbefit@aol.com getfit@getenergized.com*

## Thank you!

Give this form to project staff or return to the project office:

**Postal Mail**

 Columbia River Crossing Project  
 C/O Heather Gundersen, Environmental Manager  
 700 Washington Street, Suite 300  
 Vancouver, WA 98660

**Fax**

360-737-0294

**E-mail**

DraftEISfeedback@columbiarivercrossing.org



**Draft EIS information**

 www.columbiarivercrossing.org/CurrentTopics/  
 DraftEIS.aspx

**Submit Online Comments**

www.ColumbiaRiverCrossing.org

Comments must be postmarked by July 1, 2008



Handout 050808

**P-1115-002**

The evaluation of the five alternatives in the DEIS was preceded by an evaluation and screening of a wide array of possible solutions to the CRC project's Purpose and Need statement. Chapter 2 of the DEIS (Section 2.5) and Chapter 2 (Section 2.7) of the FEIS explain how the project's Sponsoring Agencies solicited the public, stakeholders, other agencies, and tribes for ideas on how to meet the Purpose and Need. This effort produced a long list of potential solutions, such as a possible third transportation corridor across the Columbia River, alternative transit modes, and techniques for operating the existing highway system more efficiently. After identifying this wide array of options, the project evaluated whether and how they met the project's Purpose and Need, and found that alternatives that do not include improvements to the existing I-5 facility generally do not address the seismic vulnerability of the existing I-5 bridges, traffic congestion on I-5, or the existing safety problems caused by sub-standard design of I-5. Traffic modeling showed that even significant investment in improving transit options in the corridor or building a third corridor was not enough to alleviate future traffic demand and existing safety hazards on I-5. It is important to note that transit and river crossing components were not eliminated simply because they could not accommodate future vehicular trips. For example, both light rail and tolling help to decrease vehicular demand. See Chapter 2 (Section 2.7) of the FEIS for more discussion on the screening process used to develop project alternatives.

**P-1115-003**

Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making. Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected a replacement I-5 bridge with light rail to Clark College as the project's Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These sponsor agencies, which include the Portland

City Council, Vancouver City Council, TriMet Board, C-TRAN Board, Metro Council, RTC Board, considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force when voting on the LPA.

With the LPA, new bridges will replace the existing Interstate Bridges to carry I-5 traffic, light rail, pedestrians and bicyclists across the Columbia River. Light rail will extend from the Expo Center MAX Station in Portland to a station and park and ride at Clark College in Vancouver. Pedestrians and bicyclists would travel along a wider and safer path than exists today.

For a more detailed description of highway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the LPA, see Chapter 2 of the FEIS.